

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 783 of 2006****For Approval and Signature:****HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.R. SHAH**

- =====
- Whether Reporters of Local Papers
1 may be allowed to see the
judgment ?
- 2 To be referred to the Reporter or
not ?
- 3 Whether their Lordships wish to see
the fair copy of the judgment ?
- Whether this case involves a
substantial question of law as to
4 the interpretation of the
constitution of India, 1950 or any
order made thereunder ?
- 5 Whether it is to be circulated to
the civil judge ?
- =====

VAHORA HANIFA MAHAMMADANIF - Petitioner(s)**Versus****REGIONAL PASSPORT OFFICER - Respondent(s)****Appearance :**

MR.HEMANG H PARIKH for Petitioner(s) : 1,
DS AFF.NOT FILED (R) for Respondent(s) : 1,
MR JITENDRA MALKAN for Respondent(s) : 1,

=====

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

Date : 25/01/2006

ORAL JUDGMENT

1. The petitioner states that through inadvertence her husband's name is wrongly mentioned in the Passport and the respondent authority has refused to entertain her request to correct the said mistake. According to the petitioner, on the basis of the Birth Certificate issued by the Competent Authority, the correct name of her husband is as mentioned in the Passport issued to her husband at Annexure-C, instead of the name as mentioned in the passport of the petitioner. Therefore, the petitioner has prayed for correction in the name of her passport and/or for issuance of the fresh passport, mentioning the correct name of her husband as mentioned in the passport of her husband at Annexure-C.

2. The learned counsel for the respondent states that only on the basis of material given by the petitioner the date of birth was recorded in the passport. He further stated that no change in the passport is effected, but for making any correction in the passport, a new passport is normally issued by the authority with correct details if the authority is satisfied with the genuineness of the data to be corrected.

3. Having heard the parties and looking to the facts and circumstances and also the material placed on record, the respondent is directed to look into the case of the petitioner on the basis of the evidence already adduced or on production of original certificate/s issued by competent authority and the original passport issued in favour of the husband of the petitioner, which may be adduced by the petitioner in support of her case to correct her husband's name as

mentioned above. The respondent shall take appropriate decision in accordance with law after considering the relevant evidence adduced before him and shall pass an appropriate order as expeditiously as possible.

4. As the respondent authority will have to undertake the exercise of scrutinizing the case of the petitioner afresh because of the default committed by the petitioner in not placing correct facts at an earlier point of time, a sum of Rs.5000/-is ordered to be paid by the petitioner to the respondent authority by way of costs.

5. Accordingly, the petition is allowed to the aforesaid extent. Rule is made absolute to the above extent.

Direct Service is permitted.

(M.R.SHAH, J.)

rafik